***PLEASE RETURN THE REVIEW SHEET TO THE GROUP PARA GAL WITH THE FILE WHEN THE CORRECTIONS HAVE BEEN COMPLETED OR A NEW TENHINAL DISCLAIMER ***PLEASE RETURN The IS RECEIVED T.D. INFORMAL MEMO 20-DO NOT MAIL THIS MEMO TO APPLICANT DATE: 10-26-93 TO: Marochel Examiner: Appl. S.N.: 07 From: Paralegal Art Unit: SUBJECT: Decigroup aggrainal Disclaimer (T.D.) filed: 10-07

INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you concur, please use the appropriate form paragraphs identified in this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant about the T.D. If you disagree with my analysis or have any Q's at all about the acceptability of the T.D., please see me or our special Program Examiner. THIS MEMO IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. IT SHOULD NOT BE MAILED TO APPLICANT INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as cos

The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded. (See ¶ 14.23).

- The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below. (See 114.24):
 - [] The recording fee of \$ there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account. (See 114.25).
 - [] The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321(a) in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business patent (See 1114.26 and 14.26.1).
 - [] The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause needed to overcome a double patenting rejection, Rule J21(b). (See 1114.27, 14.27.1).
 - [] It is directed to a particular claim or claims, which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be or a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted." (MPEP 1490) (See 1114.26, 14.26.2).
 - [] The person who signed the terminal disclaimer:
 - [] has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (See 114.28).
 - [] is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (See 1114.29 and possibly 14.29.1).
 - [] No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is NOTE This documentary evidence or the specifying of the separate paper. (See 114.30).
- [] No "statement" specifying that the evidentiary documents have been reviewed and certifying that, to the best of the assignee's knowledge and belief, title is in the assignee Seeking to take action. 37 CFR 3.73(b). See 1140 O.G. 72. Separate paper signed by the assignee. (See 114.31).
- [] The T.D. is not signed. (See 1114.26, 14.26.3).
- The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect. (See 114.32).
- [] The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases) being disclaimed is missing or incorrect. (See 1114.26, 14.26.4 or 14.26.5).
- [] The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified. (See
- () Other:
- [] Suggestion to request refund (See ¶14.36). (NOTE if authorized, credit refund to deposit acc't and do NOT check this

I have appropriately notified applicant(s) about the T.D. filed in Ex. initials and date: Rev. 2/9/93